

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 30, 2001

LB 451

respond to this, that all the probation officers have been trained in the use of this instrument. Is that true?

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Thompson.

SENATOR THOMPSON: I'm going to have to double check that, but I can tell you how it works in areas that have it. Because, if I could respond to what you said...

SENATOR BROWN: Well, no...I just wanted to ask that question.

SENATOR THOMPSON: It's part of their manual, and I'd be happy to distribute that. However, what state probation, the way they plan to implement this is that additional officers will be trained, but one person will...these people will have the primary responsibility. So you have, as you do in Sarpy County, one juvenile probation officer, but other people trained, and that person with the primary responsibility but that probation officer also does other work that covers for the other people's time that they spend doing probation. So it...

SENATOR BROWN: But they're really...

SENATOR THOMPSON: ...it's a management issue that's being handled by probation.

SENATOR BROWN: This is my time, Senator. There really is a difference here, a philosophical difference, a policy difference about whether we are going to get...I mean the question becomes, do you get the appropriate decision with every...is everyone...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR BROWN: ...sufficiently well trained to administer a screening instrument, if we have determined that that screening instrument is valid? Then are they sufficiently well trained that each person in probation can do that, so that they can respond in the most timely manner, or are we going to limit the in-depth training to these seven or thirteen individuals? And then, are we going to get the timeliness that we really hope to have? One other question that I would pose, and I think that